

**Introduced by Senator Florez**

January 24, 2006

---

An act to add Section 19961.07 to the Business and Professions Code, relating to gambling.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1198, as introduced, Florez. Local gambling.

Existing law permits a city, county, or city and county to permit controlled gambling, consistent with state law, if a majority of voters affirmatively approve an ordinance so permitting, as specified. Existing law provides that an amendment of an ordinance permitting an expansion of gambling, within a specified threshold, may occur without voter approval. Any amendment to a city or county ordinance relating to gambling establishments or the Gambling Control Act is required to be submitted to the Division of Gambling Control for review and comment before the ordinance is adopted by the city or county.

This bill would provide that a city, county, or city and county that duly amended its gaming ordinance before January 1, 2002, to increase wagering limits, which, subsequently, did not comply with specified provisions, may reamend the ordinance without voter approval.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.  
State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 19961.07 is added to the Business and
- 2 Professions Code, to read:
- 3 19961.07. Notwithstanding Sections 19961 and 19962, any
- 4 city, county, or city and county that duly amended its gaming
- 5 ordinance prior to January 1, 2002, to increase the wagering limits,

1 and has been informed by the division that the ordinance  
2 amendments do not comply with Sections 19961 and 19962, may  
3 amend its ordinance to increase the wagering limits, as provided  
4 for in the earlier amendment.

O